



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/785,028	02/25/2004	Hiroki Hasegawa	58647-182	9051	
7590 09/28/2005			EXAMINER		
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			ASTORINO, MICHAEL C		
600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3736		

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

سارا

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/785,028	HASEGAWA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael C. Astorino	3736		

	Michael C. Astorino	3736				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 06 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on) and the appropriate extr	ancion foe have			
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com- of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because			
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 		educing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or		in the distance				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ejected ciaims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 12-18.		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
		110	The Same			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)//\	mean)			
		MAX F. HIND				

CUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

NOLOGY CENTER 3700

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicant has raised new issues by amending the claims.